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## Possible Universal Litigation and Appeals Settlement

- Under this possible approach, roughly $\$ 100$ million can be added to further smooth transition
- The approach would require the withdrawal of all pending litigation and outstanding operating and methodological capital appeals by all nursing homes.
- The additional $\$ 100$ million would transition homes such that:
- No homes incur losses for the first two years
- The losses of homes negatively impacted by pricing is reduced by roughly one-half
- The gains of the winners is accelerated in the first three years
- Funding would be made available within existing resources and included within the Global Cap


## Possible Universal Settlement Transition Approach

, Blended Price/ Blended WEF model is fully implemented in year one

- No changes are made in the underlying blended price/ blended WEF methodology
- Corridor Transition is eliminated
- Six year transition period remains in play under settlement transition approach
- Transition Pool: established to temporarily shift resources from winners to losers (\$100 million) in years one and two
- Settlement Contribution: of approximately $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ is a permanent resource to mitigate impact of losers
- With these two transition pools in:
- Years 1 and 2 there will be $\$ 100$ million in winners and no losers
- Years 3-5 will transition $\$ 100$ million back to winners
- Full implementation (Year 6) would result in $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ in winners and $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ in losers (versus $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ in winners and $\$ 200$ million in losers)
- This approach would result in the following shift from winners to losers

|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 <br> (Full Imp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shift From <br> Winners/ <br> Transition Pool | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 75$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Settlement <br> Contribution | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ |

## Possible Settlement Transition Example

| Winner Facility <br> Current Rate $=\$ 85$ | Year 1 <br> and Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 6 <br> (Full Impl) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blended Price | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ |
| Transition \$ to Losers | $(\$ 7.50)$ | $(\$ 5.60)$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Rate | $\$ 92.50$ | $\$ 94.40$ | $\$ 100$ |
| Blended Price Compared <br> to Current Rate | $+\$ 7.50$ | $+\$ 9.40$ | $+\$ 15.00$ |


| Loser Facility <br> Current Rate $=\$ 115$ | Year 1 and <br> Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 6 <br> (Full Impl) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blended Price | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 100$ |
| Transition \$ from Winners | $\$ 7.50$ | $\$ 5.60$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Settlement Contribution | $\$ 7.50$ | $\$ 7.50$ | $\$ 7.50$ |
| Total Rate | $\$ 115$ | $\$ 113.10$ | $\$ 107.50$ |
| Blended Price Compared <br> to Current Rate | $\$ 0$ | $-\$ 1.90$ | $-\$ 7.50$ |

## Possible Settlement Transition Strategy

## Corridor Transition

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Full <br> Impl. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Winners

|  | $24,310,811$ | $47,134,699$ | $106,288,624$ | $144,845,333$ | $169,159,705$ | $202,723,355$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Losers | $(\$ 33,100,527)$ | $(55,924,459)$ | $(115,078,217)$ | $(153,634,976)$ | $(177,949,354)$ | $(211,513,083)$ |

## Settlement Transition

| Winners | $96,770,148$ | $94,497,606$ | $119,088,543$ | $146,966,814$ | $174,845,085$ | $202,723,355$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Losers *

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\$ 0 & 0 & (27,878,271) & (55,756,541) & (83,634,812) \\
(111,513,083)
\end{array}
$$

* 65 Homes that do not benefit under Settlement Transition will receive their Corridor Transition in Year 1 and 21 homes in Year 2


## Possible Transition Under Alternative Universal Settlement Approach

| Corridor Transition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Full Imp. |
| Winners | Proprietary | 14,956,594 | 30,152,941 | 62,167,058 | 84,766,789 | 99,394,795 | 120,577,438 |
|  | Voluntary | 7,367,570 | 13,822,411 | 35,620,237 | 48,484,357 | 56,118,564 | 66,983,707 |
|  | Publics | 1,986,648 | 3,159,347 | 8,501,329 | 11,594,186 | 13,646,347 | 15,162,210 |
| Losers | Proprietary | $(14,866,733)$ | $(24,110,664)$ | $(48,428,258)$ | $(62,820,224)$ | $(70,090,322)$ | $(79,093,037)$ |
|  | Voluntary | $(15,036,767)$ | $(25,440,985)$ | $(51,747,030)$ | $(70,665,574)$ | $(84,469,548)$ | $(105,513,980)$ |
|  | Publics | $(3,197,027)$ | $(6,372,811)$ | $(14,902,929)$ | $(20,149,179)$ | $(23,389,484)$ | $(26,906,065)$ |
| Settlement Transition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winners | Proprietary | 58,342,480 | 57,289,770 | 70,832,448 | 87,414,111 | 103,995,774 | 120,577,438 |
|  | Voluntary | 31,185,853 | 30,385,981 | 39,349,152 | 48,560,670 | 57,772,189 | 66,983,707 |
|  | Publics | 7,241,814 | 6,821,855 | 8,906,944 | 10,992,033 | 13,077,122 | 15,162,210 |
| Losers | Proprietary |  |  | (10,424,779) | $(20,849,558)$ | $(31,274,336)$ | $(41,699,115)$ |
|  | Voluntary |  |  | $(13,907,165)$ | $(27,814,330)$ | $(41,721,494)$ | $(55,628,659)$ |
|  | Publics |  |  | $(3,546,327)$ | $(7,092,654)$ | $(10,638,981)$ | $(14,185,308)$ |

